IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

CIVIL ACTION NO. 00-2248 ROBERT G. WYCKOFF,

Plaintiff,

CHIEF JUDGE AMBROSE v.

METROPOLITAN LIFE INSURANCE COMPANY AND KENNETH F. KACZMAREK,

Defendants

DEFENDANTS METROPOLITAN LIFE INSURANCE COMPANY'S AND KENNETH F. KACZMAREK'S MOTION TO EXCLUDE EXHIBIT 101

Defendants Metropolitan Life Insurance Company ("MetLife") and Kenneth F. Kaczmarak submit this Motion in Limine to Exclude Plaintiff's Proposed Exhibit 101:

- 1. Plaintiff offers as Exhibit 101 a videotape produced by MetLife. A copy of the transcript of this videotape is attached to this Motion as Exhibit A. MetLife's Hearsay Designations in Connection with Plaintiff's Exhibit 101 is attached as Exhibit B.
- 2. This case involves plaintiff's allegations that MetLife sales representatives Norman Molchan and Kenneth Kaczmarek made misrepresentations during the sale of two Whole Life insurance policies in 1991 and 1994. The videotape proffered by plaintiff (the "AP Videotape") consists solely of MetLife sales representatives, none of whom were employed in Pennsylvania, discussing confusion they or their customers have had at some undefined time regarding the accelerated payment ("AP") arrangement. The video concludes with a discussion by these representatives regarding potential methods of easing this confusion in the future. Therefore, the AP Videotape is an example of a

subsequent remedial measure by MetLife, and as such should be excluded pursuant to Federal Rule of Evidence 407.

- 3. Further, as the AP Videotape does not mention or relate to the allegations at issue, it is irrelevant under Federal Rule of Evidence 401 and therefore inadmissible under Rule 402.
- 4. This Court should not allow the introduction of evidence regarding prior, unrelated bad acts or wrongs because they are (i) irrelevant, (ii) prejudicial, and (iii) otherwise inadmissible.
- 5. Finally, the AP Videotape is replete with admissible hearsay. As no exception to the hearsay rule is applicable here, the videotape should be excluded pursuant to Federal Rule of Evidence 803.

For the foregoing reasons, and the reasons set forth in MetLife's and Kenneth F.

Kaczmarek's Brief in Support of Motion in Limine to Exclude Plaintiff's Proposed Exhibit 101,
defendants respectfully requests that this Honorable Court enter an Order barring the
introduction or use of the Plaintiff's Proposed Exhibit 101.

Respectfully Submitted,

s/ B. John Pendleton, Jr.
B. John Pendleton, Jr.
McCARTER & ENGLISH, LLP
Four Gateway Center
100 Mulberry Street
Newark, NJ 07102
(973) 622-4444

Attorneys for Defendants Metropolitan Life Insurance Company and Kenneth F. Kaczmarek

Dated: October 3, 2006

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

The undersigned hereby certifies that on this 3rd day of October, 2006, a true and correct

copy of the foregoing **DEFENDANTS METROPOLITAN LIFE INSURANCE**

COMPANY'S AND KENNETH F. KACZMAREK'S MOTION TO EXCLUDE EXHIBIT

101 was served upon the following via electronic filing system:

Kenneth R. Behrend, Esquire BEHREND & ERNSBERGER, P.C. Union National Bank Building, 3rd Floor 306 Fourth Avenue Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania 15222

c/ R	Iohn	Pendleton.	Ir	
S/ D .	John	Pendieton.	Jr.	